United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,035	04/30/2001	Brian T. Murren	GE1-009US	5206
21718 7 LEE & HAYES	590 04/09/2007 PLLC		EXAMINER	
SUITE 500 421 W RIVERSIDE SPOKANE, WA 99201			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3621	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/09/2007	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhpto@leehayes.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/847,035	MURREN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pierre E. Elisca	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2006.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-10,12-19,38-46 and 49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,12-19,38,39 and 42-47</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,40 and 41</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 03/23/2006.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 12-19, 38-46, and 49 are pending.

NOTE

3. Two claims 49 have been filed with this amendment. Applicant is advised to renumber one of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9, 40, and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8,10, 12-19, 38, 39, and 42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.c. 103 (a) as neing unpatentable over Bowman-Anuah (U.S. Pat. No. 6,615,253).

Application/Control Number: 09/847,035

Art Unit: 3621

Bowman substantially discloses publishing information to the various users using push technology prior to the user requesting the content. In particular Bowman pushes a large set of content (including the content in which the user wants to receive) and then limiting or selecting a subset of that content based upon the particular user's desires. In this particular rejection, the Examiner interprets the various "components" as corresponding memory locations within a computer without software. In other words, each memory address or group of addresses is a "component". However Bowman does not explicitly disclose the system "components".

However it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bowman to include labeling each sections "components". Such a modification would have segregated the parts of the system which would help make debugging easier.

7. Claims 1-6, 8,10, 12-19, 38, 39, and 42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Garg et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,567,846).

Garg substantially discloses the claimed invention including publishing information to the various users using push technology prior to the user requesting the content. In particular Bowman pushes a large set of content (including the content in which the user wants to receive) and then limiting or selecting a subset of that content based upon the particular user's desires. In this particular rejection, the Examiner interprets the various "components" as corresponding memory locations within a computer without software.

Art Unit: 3621

In other words, each memory address or group of addresses is a "component".

However Garg does not explicitly disclose the system "components".

However it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to modify Garg to include labeling each sections

"components". Such a modification would have segregated the parts of the system

which would help make debugging easier.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/23/2006 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive.

REMARKS

9. In response to Applicant's arguments filed on 03/23/2006, Applicant argues that

the prior art of record (Bowman and Garg) fail to disclose the limitations of claim 1.

However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion since bowman

discloses the claimed limitations in the abstract, col 1-col 242.

Furthermore, Garg discloses Applicant's claimed invention in the abstract, col 1-col 10.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 571 272

6706. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,035 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on 571 272 6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PIERRE EDDY ELISCA PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

March 29, 2007